

THE DAILY HERALD.

THE HERALD COMPANY.  
R. C. Chambers, President.  
A. W. McCune, Vice President.  
E. A. McDaniel, Manager.

OFFICE: THE HERALD block, corner West Temple and First South streets, Salt Lake City.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.  
DAILY, PER MONTH.....5 CENTS  
Daily, per month.....\$1.50  
Semi-Weekly, per month.....\$1.00  
Semi-Weekly, per year.....\$10.00  
Semi-Weekly, per year.....\$10.00

COMPLAINTS.—Subscribers who fail to receive a single copy of THE HERALD should immediately notify the publisher. This HERALD at any news stand or on any railroad train in Utah, Idaho, Nevada, Wyoming or Colorado, will oblige by reporting that fact.

NEW YORK OFFICE: E. Katz, 226 to 228 Temple Court building.

WASHINGTON BUREAU—425 New York avenue, N. W.

CODEN BUREAU—Utah Loan and Trust company building, W. L. Wattis, manager.

Address all remittances to HERALD COMPANY.

Subscribers removing from one place to another, and desiring papers changed, should always give former as well as present address.

General Lee will return to Cuba, but Weyler will return to Spain.

Stolen kisses are sweet, but up in Minnesota they cost \$25 apiece.

Evangelina Cosío Cárdenas has ceased to be even a nine days' wonder.

There may be no such word as "fail" but there is such a word as "fizzle."

"I wanted to, but England wouldn't let me," William McKinley to congress.

The Tribune's support of Mr. Clark is very perfunctory. Its heart is with Mr. Doremus.

Why doesn't Mrs. Leese throw herself into the East river instead of into New York politics?

That bone of contention goes all the way through the Lugert trial, even the jury disagreeing.

New Orleans has made a century run on deaths from yellow fever. It is to be hoped it will not repeat it.

Bismarck doesn't like the Monroe doctrine; he finds it entirely too American. Salisbury found it to be of that make.

The Spanish ministry seems as incapable of putting Weyler down as Weyler was of putting the Cuban rebellion down.

Utah congratulates New York on the successful establishment of a sugar factory. May the Rome factory be as successful as the Lehi factory.

This country adopts all its policies of every kind, save financial, to suit itself. Is not it about time it inaugurated its own financial policy?

There is a man in the Georgia penitentiary whose sentence are for two hundred years' imprisonment. He will probably cheat justice by dying.

Will our morning contemporary please define its idea of what would have constituted an unfavorable reply to the overtures of the Wolcott commission?

Will the Republican mayor of Salt Lake City render an accounting of the disposition of the contingent fund? The people have a right to know what was done with it.

The Democratic candidates are the only ones standing fairly and squarely on a platform that declares for free silver. The voters of the city should make note of this fact.

Lord Salisbury has disembarassed the administration, to a certain extent, on the financial question. And no doubt the president really feels that Queen Victoria is his great and good friend.

Mr. Dale voted for Bryan for president and for the free and independent coinage of silver. Did Mr. Clark and Mr. Doremus vote for Bryan and free silver or for McKinley and the gold standard?

Governor Black, of New York, stated the truth in his speech Wednesday night when he said, "In every spot where the fight is on, it is waged on the lines of the St. Louis and Chicago platforms."

The students of Harvard have been discussing the question whether or not the office of vice-president of the United States should be abolished. Certainly not. The vice president is ornamental if not useful.

The Impartial of Madrid wants the Madrid government to talk straight and strong to the Washington government on the question of filibusters. Let the Madrid government go right ahead with its talk, for talk is cheap, unless it comes over an Atlantic cable.

"Among the features of California, not usually enumerated in advertising literature of the Golden-state, is the rapid growth and development of brigandage. In this respect California must already be a dangerous rival of Italy and Greece. And California is young yet," says the Anaconda Standard. True; and the greatest brigand in all California is the Southern Pacific railroad.

Our evening contemporary stabs our morning contemporary with these cruel words:

"The result of the efforts of the monetary commission are what thoughtful men in the western part of the United States generally have been expecting ever since it was appointed, consequently no shock of disappointment has been felt by them."

Surely "this was the most unkindest cut of all."

Our morning contemporary, speaking of Senator Wolcott's mission, says: "But he has not failed yet." It also said that Salisbury's answer to the proposals made by the Wolcott commission would be "unfavorable."

We have always known that in the lexicon of youth "there is no such word as 'fail,'" but we supposed that Webster's dictionary and not the lexicon of youth was the standard with our contemporary.

SOME TIMELY QUESTIONS.

A subscriber asks the following timely questions:

1. Why should the government of this glorious country, with its boundless wealth and unlimited natural resources, in an attitude of abject prostration ask aid of any other nation? I ask because Meers, McKinley & Co. deem this position an eminently proper and becoming one.

2. Why should England, assuming the attitude of a philanthropist, consent to accept a 10 per cent reduction in money owing it when it is perfectly well aware that it can legally collect 100 per cent in excess of the just debt?

3. Why is a radical free silverite? 4. During the anti-slavery agitation which culminated in our late civil war, was not the cause declared to be an issue in municipal campaigns, and were not the people unambiguously divided upon that issue?

5. Is the cause of the white slave less vital, less worthy, than that of his colored brother that it should be ignored in the present campaign?

6. Is it not singular that many of the heroes who fought and bled for the emancipation of the colored race should be strutting their egos to the front in the effort to deliver their own into a bondage more cruel, more unyielding and more hopeless?

7. Is there any reason why the Democratic should call to make a clean sweep at the coming election?

8. This country should not ask aid of any other country. The reason McKinley & Co. deem an attitude of abject prostration before other nations, so far as the money question is concerned, proper, is because they were elected on and stand on the St. Louis platform, and its position on the money question is abject in the extreme.

England is looking out for her interests and no one else's. It is to her interest to make other nations believe that her interest is theirs, and who has succeeded most admirably in the case of the United States. She has succeeded in making the government at Washington believe that it is morally financially right to pay all debts in gold when they were contracted to be paid in coin, coin meaning either gold or silver. For this purpose England has made use of the money power in this country, which is absolutely impartial in sacrificing this or any other country for gold.

A radical free silverite is one who believes that the silver question is paramount in politics, to all others and never wavers in this belief. According to the Tribune, a radical free silverite is one who doesn't believe that McKinley is as staunch a friend of silver as Bryan is.

During the anti-slavery agitation which preceded the civil war, that question was the one dominating issue. The people certainly were divided on that issue.

The cause of the white slave is no less worthy than was the cause of the black man, and it should not be ignored, and will not be. As emancipation finally came to the black slave so will it eventually come to the white slave. But there is this difference between the slavery of ante-bellum days and that of today: the former was sectional, today it is universal, and it knows no color line.

It is both strange and inexplicable that men who fought and bled for the blessing of liberty the same as themselves should be willing to force chains upon their brothers, even upon their comrades in arms, yet it is true that some of them are. Why they are no one can say, still every one knows that a man may be a hero at one time and at another a craven; that his soul is moved to pity in some cases and to cruelty in others. Man is not a consistent being, and that is about all that can be predicted of him.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

There is no reason why the Democrats should not carry the coming election. They have the broadest, best, most American platform of any party, while upon that platform stand candidates who are the equals of any other candidates and the superiors of the most of them. If the cause of free silver, if the cause of the people is to triumph, then will the Democratic ticket be elected in its entirety.

the president had any faith that the result of that mission would be in any way different from what it has been.

No currency commission could have acted intelligently so long as the attitude of England, Germany and France on the subject of an international agreement concerning bimetalism was unknown. If the sending of the special commission to Europe was in the nature of a mere by-play with no expectation that anything would come of it, and it looks very much as though that is what it was and nothing else, then the appointment of a commission to consider and report on the currency question would have been all very well.

President McKinley sent the special bimetallic commission to Europe. It is true, but no one who was not infatuated believed for a single moment that anything would come of it; and we do not believe that President McKinley was infatuated. The party that elected him to the historic and avowed enemy of silver, the platform it adopted at St. Louis last year, is uncompromisingly hostile to silver, the financial plank declaring that the party is unalterably opposed to the free coinage of silver, except by and with the consent of the leading commercial nations. And those who drew up that plank and those who adopted it knew all the while that the consent of the leading commercial nations to an arrangement for international bimetalism could not be had under any circumstances.

If President McKinley had any really friendly feeling for silver he would hardly have appointed as his secretary of the treasury a man who is a devoted adherent of the single gold standard. Every scheme for reforming the currency that Secretary Gage has evolved has had no place in it for an enlarged use of silver, much less anything looking towards the restoration of silver to its proper place in our monetary system. His latest scheme contemplates the retirement of the greenbacks, bonds to be issued for that purpose, and the substitution of national bank notes in their place, the new bonds to be used as security for the notes the same as now.

The administration is now able to declare that it has redeemed its pledges, and that England and not it is to blame that nothing can be done for silver by it. England has proved to be its friend in need.

LET THE TRIBUNE SUBSTANTIATE ITS ASSERTION.

The Tribune says:

The president of the United States several months ago sent a commission to Europe to try to induce the great powers there to agree with the United States upon an arrangement to restore silver. They sent on an implied invitation and an implied promise on the part of Great Britain.

The president did send a commission to Europe several months ago to see what the powers would do regarding an international agreement on the question of silver; whether that commission made any definite propositions to any European government no one knows except those governments and the government at Washington.

Our contemporary says that those commissioners went on an "implied invitation" and an "implied promise" on the part of Great Britain. Where does our contemporary get its authority for saying that the Wolcott commission went to Europe on an "implied invitation" from Great Britain? So far as we have ever seen there was no invitation from Great Britain, implied or other, and we should like to be informed why our contemporary makes the assertion. The matter is surely important enough to warrant in giving the grounds for its assertion; we do not say that it is not true, but we believe our contemporary is the only paper in the country that makes it.

If that commission went to Europe on an "implied invitation" from Great Britain, presumably it would not have gone without an invitation. This being so, what becomes of the theory that the president sent that commission abroad in pursuance of the promises of the St. Louis platform? Would those great promises have been left unfulfilled had Great Britain not sent that "implied invitation?"

Our contemporary's remarks above quoted were made last Monday morning. Let us see what it said yesterday morning. Here it is:

It was expected all through the campaign last year that Mr. McKinley would, if elected, treat silver precisely as did his predecessor. It was so, in substance, announced by the gold press of his party in the east. But so soon as he was inaugurated he asked the members of congress to appoint commissioners and to extend certain powers to them, to try by a new congress or by negotiation to adjust the silver question with the nations of the old world.

Was that "implied invitation" from Great Britain lying on Mr. McKinley's desk waiting for him when he entered the White House as president, March 4 last? It must have been, if our contemporary's statement of Monday last and its statement of yesterday are to be reconciled.

It can hardly fail to strike any one who will read the above two statements that the second one entirely refutes the first, and makes it plain that the first is nothing but bold assertion. In fact, our contemporary's assertion about the Wolcott commission going abroad on an "implied invitation" and an implied promise on the part of Great Britain, is but a part of its general scheme to make the people of the state believe that the McKinley administration intended to do something for silver, and to make them further believe that McKinley would be aggressive on the matter. McKinley aggressive in behalf of silver? Never!

"True, it claimed then as now to be an all-around, up-to-date silver paper, but we knew then, as well as now, that it was only a qualified silver organ; that its course would be controlled on that question by the action of its party entirely, but that does not matter," says the Tribune.

The Herald is accused of the crime of following its party on the silver question. We admit the accusation and attempt no defense. If it is a crime to be in full accord with our party on the silver question, then it is a crime to be in accord with the declaration of the Chicago platform on it, which declaration is in favor of the free and independent coinage of silver at the ratio of 16 to 1, and not in favor of waiting for the consent of any other nation. Is there anything wrong or servile in favoring a party lead such as this? Our contemporary followed that lead a year ago, but it shows wonderful signs of repentance and of a keen desire to follow the lead of a party which is opposed to the free and independent coinage of silver, a party which believes in knocking to the powers of Europe. We always expect to be in accord with the Democratic party on the silver question, for it is the champion of the free and independent coinage of silver by the United States.

When the president issues his Thanksgiving proclamation will he take the ground that the people should return thanks to the Ruler of the Universe or to the Dingy Law?

General Miles accomplished just as much as the Wolcott commission, anyhow.

COMMENTS ON THE UNION PACIFIC SALE.

Chicago Times-Herald: No one familiar with the history of the Union Pacific railroad will be surprised to find that the government is making the best possible bargain and will be most happily deceived from a connection with the sale of the road.

Omaha World-Herald: The country owes a set of embossed thanks to the Union Pacific reorganization committee for its unselfish efforts to remove the Union Pacific from the domain of politics and a profit of several million dollars to the companies.

San Francisco Examiner: Under the declaration of congress it is the duty of the administration to bid up the price of the Union Pacific to the full amount of the debt owing the government, and, if it is not sold to another bidder at this price, to take possession of the road. If the administration does not think this a wise plan, or if it doubts the authority to take the money from the treasury without specific appropriation, it should refer the matter back to congress for further instructions.

Denver Post: It is not quite clear just why that Union Pacific reorganization committee considers so much extolling necessary.

St. Louis Globe-Democrat: It is proposed in the Union Pacific railroad to the highest bidder. Vaporing about it is not to the point. Let those who say it is a good idea get together and give the government what they think it is worth.

Los Angeles Herald: The Hartford Courant, commenting on the proposed Union Pacific reorganization deal, says that the reorganization committee has picked up enough Union Pacific stock to put it \$2,000,000 since it became probable that the road would be sold.

That is a good idea too and will have a very good influence upon political conventions.

Ogden Standard: The Wolcott commission has miserably failed. With our friend Goodwin, of the Tribune, now write the sequel to his editorial of a few months ago, "Oh, Ye of Little Faith!"

WIT AND HUMOR.

Detroit Free Press: "What made High-Brown trading his family tree?"

"Found one of his ancestors hanging to a limb of it."

Boston Courier: Visitor: "Mr. Mixem, I see that your nephew has quite a knowledge of the art preservation. I wonder where he could have acquired it."

Mrs. Mixem: "Oh, naturally enough. His ma knew more about preserves than most any one I ever knew."

Indianapolis Journal: First Small Boy: "I thought you was going to wear your hair footed style."

Second Small Boy: "Old man said if I went around looking like a mop head I'd be door out me."

Puck: Druggist: "I think we ought to sell bigger bottles of this stuff."

Druggist: "Nearly all the doctors prescribe them."

Cincinnati Enquirer: Sweet Sixteen: "He has such a kindly air."

Druggist: "Yes. An up-all-night-er."

Indianapolis Journal: "Women" said the cynical boarder, "seem to be utter failures as negro minstrels."

"It is too much of a strain for a woman to keep her face corked up for three hours."

Detroit Journal: "There go the innumerable Sniggers."

What absurd airs they put on for people who do not care a fig for them."

For with the refinement of human character in the fierce crucible of fate the day inevitably to come when wealth will be held to be bourgeois, not to say vulgar."

Chicago Tribune: "Only 14 quarts of milk hereafter!" exclaimed Ardup. "Well, I'd simply have to quit taking it, that's all. We can't afford it."

And Ardup's indignation was so great that he did not cool off thoroughly until after he had gone down town and spent 50 cents playing billiards.

Chicago Record: "Your daughter hammers on the piano from morning till night."

"That's all right; our house isn't over-run with the queer kind of puns your daughter plants from morning till night."

Detroit Free Press: "Don't you go wild over the beauties of autumn?"

"I don't know. I don't look any prettier to me than the summer girls."

New York World: A New York woman found her newly married daughter in tears. Upon asking her what was the matter, she replied that the cook had left, and the husband would have to prepare her husband's dinner.

"Well, I wouldn't cry about it. He may pull through after all," was the comforting reply of her mother.

FOOTBALL FOR UNKNOWNING.

Points of the Game and the Meaning of Strange Expressions.

New York World: Football has been a popular sport for so short a time that it is one of the many thousands that will witness the game this season there will be thousands that will not understand it. For the benefit of those who have never seen and who have never studied the game here are the elementary principles.

To begin with, each side has 11 men that "line up" or face one another in the center of the field.

Those men are known as the right end and left end, right tackle and left tackle, right guard and left guard, center, quarterback, right halfback and left halfback and fullback.

They "line up" facing each other. The right end of one team faces the left end of the other. The seven men facing one another are the line or "the snappers," and the men behind the line are "the backs."

At each end of the field, which is 30x100 feet, is the goal line, in the center of which are the goal posts. These posts are 10 feet high and 15 feet apart, with a crossbar ten feet from the ground. The object of the game is for each side

to carry the ball over its opponents' goal line, or to kick the ball between the posts in order to score.

The two captains toss for choice of ball and the loser gets the goal, or the reverse. The game is started by a "kick off" from the center of the field. A "kick off" cannot score a goal.

After the "kick off," the side that gets the ball must advance with it five yards in four attempts or "downs." If it fails to advance five yards in four attempts, the ball goes to the other side on "downs."

After a "down" the ball is "kicked off" from the center of the field.

A "goal" is made by kicking the ball in any way except by a punt between the goal posts and over the crossbar.

A "drop kick" is made by letting the ball fall from the hands and kicking it the instant it rises from the ground.